OUARTERLY PROGRAMMATIC REPORT

Program Manager Project Manager

Spencer Shepherd Graham Matthews

Phone 415-778-0999 x 24

CALFED Project # Quarter Ending

97-N07 12/31/98

| | | Deliverabl | les | |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Name of | Due | % of Work | Date Deliverable |
| | <u>Deliverable</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Complete</u> | Complete |
| Task 1 | Final Report* | 03-01-99 | | |
| Subtask 1 | Compile Existing | 09-01-98 | 65% | *** |
| | Information | | | |
| Subtask 2 | Channel Survey | 11-01-98 | 5% | * |
| | Hydrologic Analysis | 10-01-98 | 50% | |
| Subtask 4 | Geomorphic Analysis | 01-01- 99 | 54% | *: |
| Subtask 5 | Final Report Preparation | 03 - 01- 9 9 | 0% | **** |

^{*} The only deliverable for this task is the final report describing study methods, data collected, data analysis, and conclusions.

Task 2

| Subtask 1 | Detailed Site Surveying (site maps) | 11-01-98 | 80% | 4 | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----|----------|--|--|
| Subtask 2 | Design Development | | 0% | | | |
| | Construction drawings | 04-15-99 | | | | |
| | Design memorandum | 04-15-99 | | | | |
| Subtask 3 | Implementation | 06-30-99 | 0% | | | |
| | Coordination | | | | | |
| | (Copies of permits applications) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Narrative

1. Description of activities performed during the quarter, by task.

TASK 1:

Sub-Task 1: Compile Existing Information

<u>Previous Reports</u>: We continue acquiring and reviewing existing information.

Acrial Photographs: We have continued to acquire historic aerial photography, in preparation for channel mapping efforts. We had a new aerial flight of the entire study area made in early November 1998, and have had enlargements made to assist in locating USGS cross sections.

Historic Cross Section/Profile Surveys: We have entered USGS cross section data and are

attempting to interview original USGS study members to assist in relocating the 1983 cross sections. The original field notes provide only cursory descriptions of the section locations, which are generally inadequate to relocate the section locations after this long a time period.

Sub-Task 2: Channel Surveys

Channel surveys began in late October 1998. We first set horizontal and vertical control at numerous locations along the stream channel using survey grade GPS equipment. We have surveyed the longitudinal profile from the Sacramento River to Interstate 5, and are continuing to work upstream. We expect to begin cross section surveys in early January, weather and streamflows permitting.

Sub-Task 3: Hydrologic Analyses

We have completed the majority of our hydrologic analyses, including streamflow analysis of annual runoff, mean daily discharge, peak discharge and flow duration. Precipitation data have been analyzed.

TASK 2:

Sub-Task 1: Detailed Site Surveying

We have nearly completed detailed topographic mapping of the proposed restoration project study area. Several thousand individual survey points have been collected using both kinematic GPS and total station survey equipment to accurately define site topography. A draft map has been prepared.

2. Problems and delays encountered by task.

Task 1, Sub-Task 2: Channel Surveys

Due to a very wet November, parts of our budgeted field time could not be completed. Fortunately, December was quite dry, and with low streamflows, we have been able to continue our surveys. However, we remain quite some time behind our expected progress on this task. If weather and streamflows permit, we should complete all of our field surveys by February 1. We have also had trouble obtaining reliable information with which to relocate the USGS cross sections. If we are not able to obtain this information by mid January, we will need to proceed with the surveys, locating the sections with the best information available to us.

- 3. Other issues or comments.
- 4. Please identify your projected expenses for each of the next three months in the following quarter to assist in the timing of State bond sales which fund this project.

Month 1 \$ 8,000 Month 2 \$ 10,000 Month 3 \$ 10,000 Total for quarter \$ 28,000

ָּבֿ,

က

CALFED Project Name: Cottonwood Creek Geomorphic Analysis Bengard Fianchi Recipient: Graham Natthews & Associates

CALFED Project # 97-N07

Budget Year. Statement Quarter

1999

Total Estimates Cost of Phase I:

Funding from Federal Bay-Delta Account

10,000

Funding provided by private landowner

Phase schedue 1 year

| Total Project Estimated Completion Cate: | | 2 years | PHASE I (Quarterly Budget) | | PHASE ((FY '99 Budget) | | | σ | PHASE I (Three Year Budget) | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| | | | Budget | Accrued Expenditures | Variance 1 | Budget | Accrued Expenditures | Remaining Galance | Budget | Accrued Expenditures | Raisnice to Complete | |
| Task 1: Geomorphic Analysis | | \$17,000 | \$6,689 | \$10311 | \$24,167 | \$6,689 | \$17,478 | \$32,000 | \$10,489 | \$21,511 | | |
| | Schedule: FY '98 through FY '99 | | i | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Percent Work Complete for Task | 33% | | | <u> </u> | 1 | | 1 | l l | | | 1 1 |
| 10 | Comple Existing Information | | 0 | [150] | -150 | 0 | 150 | 1,050 | 3,000 | 1,950 | 1,050 | 1 |
| 16 | Channel Geometry | , | 5,500 | 0 | 5,500 | 6,667 | 0 | 9,500 | 10,000 | 500 | 9 500 | |
| 1c | Hydrologic Analysis | | 1,500 | 0 | 1,500 | 1 500 | િ | 1,500 | 3,000 | 1,500 | 1,508 | 1 |
| 10 | Geomorphic Analysis | | 10,000 | 6,539 | 3,461 | 12000 | 6,539 | 5.461 | 12,000 | 6,539 | 5,461 |] [|
| 1 6 | Report Preparation | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 000 | 0 | 4,808 | 4,000 | 0 | 4,000 | 1 1 |
| Fask 2: Charnel / Riparian Restoration Design | | \$7,000 | \$3,990 | \$3,010 | \$29,000 | \$3,990 | \$25,010 | \$29,000 | \$3,990 | \$25,010 | \Box | |
| | Schedule. FY '98 through FY '00 | | | | | I | | | • | | | |
| ì | Percent Work Complete for Task | 14% | • | 1 | i | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 I |
| 2€ | Detailed Site Mapping | | 5,080 | 3,990 | 1,010 | 6000 | 3,990 | 2,010 | 6,030 | 3,990 | 2,010 | |
| 2t | Design Development | | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 | 19 000 | 0 | 19,000 | 19,030 | 0 | 19,000 | |
| 2c | Project Implementation Coordination | |) | 0 | 0 | 4.000 | 0 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 0 | 4,00C | |
| Phase I Total: | | | \$24,000 | \$10,679 | \$13,321 | \$53,167 | \$10,679 | \$42,488 | \$61,000 | \$14,419 | \$46,521 | |

We budget to the Sub-ask level only if they are active during the Quarter in question. If a subtask is complete, the subtask cost rolls-up into the Task, evel.

Please exclain significant variance.

[&]quot; Explanation of Variance in Budget:

^{**} The wel November affected our ability to complete field surveys in a timety tashion.